COURT TRANSCRIPTS:

These are the court transcripts from the plea hearing. Note pages 10 and 11. They demonstrate the forgone intent of the Commonwealth to hamper any attempts a civil remedy. Because the judge had took issue with baring from civil court, the proceedings were paused, then David, his attorney, the judge, Susan Galvin from the probation department, and a Commonwealth representative from the DA's office, all shuffled into a room off the courtroom.

INVOICE

ROGER W. TRUDEAU, RMR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER P. O. BOX 11 SO. DEERFIELD, MASS. 01373

June 14, 2001

TO: Mr. David Robitaille 36 Humbert St. Springfield, MA 01109

Invoice No. 01-TO-47

Hampden Superior Court Comm v. Robitaille

Transcript of: Plea hearing, 8/18/94

37 pages @ \$ 3.00 (Original) \$ 111.00

Paid in Advance \$ 120.00

Balance Due Mr. Robitaille (Check enclosed) \$ 9.00

Min Full Miller Réger W. Inville

COMMONWEALTH OF M	ASSACHUSETTS
Hampden, ss	Superior Court
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS))
vs.) No. 86-3251) thru
DAVID J. ROBITAILLE	No. 86-3258
HEARING ON MOTION AND OFFER TO	CHANGE PLEA

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE COMMONWEALTH:

BEFORE HON. CONSTANCE SWEENEY

JUDY ZEPRUN KALMAN, Asst. District Attorney

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

CHARLES STEPHENSON, Esq.

Hampshire County Courthouse 15 Gothic Street Northampton, Massachusetts

August 18, 1994

Roger W. Trudeau, CM-RPR Official Court Reporter

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 THE CLERK: The next matter, your 2 Honor, I'll call will be the Commonwealth versus David John Robitaille. This is Hampden Superior 3 4 Court Docket Number 86-3251 through 86-3258. 5 ATTY. STEPHENSON: Your Honor, may Mr. Robitaille join me? 7 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. Good afternoon again. I'm sorry 8 to keep you folks waiting as well. I have read all the submissions. 11

Mr. DeAngelis did indicate to me that there might be something counsel wishes to report, and I'll be glad to hear from you if you do.

ATTY. STEPHENSON: Your Honor, what has been proposed by the Commonwealth, and a proposal that I would certainly endorse, would be rather than to go forward on the motion that is before you, which is couched in terms of Rule 30(b) of the Rules of Criminal Procedure, that Rule 30(a) gives your Honor the authority to correct a sentence which is in contradiction or in conflict with the laws of the Commonwealth.

THE COURT: You're client can sit down. You may sit down, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

ATTY. STEPHENSON: That, in this essence, the substance of Mr. Robitaille's position, and one in which the government joins, I believe, is that simply in this case your Honor's intention as to the sentence was not carried out.

The advantage of proceeding under Rule 30(a), although there's no formal paperwork in front of you, would obviate the need for an additional proceeding at some point in the future. There would not be, in fact, a new trial; there would simply be a resentencing according to an agreement that we would tender to your Honor this afternoon.

agreement, however, Mr. Stephenson, I have again gone through the papers ad nauseam at this point on the Motion for New Trial, and there are substantive issues raised, however legitimate or not, with respect to the voluntariness of the plea that led to the sentence.

Now whether the sentence was correct or incorrect aside, it challenges the validity of

the plea colloquy itself upon which any sentence is going to be based.

So that if in fact there is an agreement here, and if it's one that's acceptable to the Court, I would still need to put your client on the stand, under oath, to make sure we had a voluntary waiver, if you will, of the various allegations he makes, and he is no longer relying on those allegations, if that's truly how he feels.

ATTY. STEPHENSON: That would certainly be my understanding as well, your Honor, and completely appropriate.

THE COURT: Attorney Kalman, how do you feel about that procedure?

atty. KALMAN: I think 30(a) can be used, your Honor. I think it probably stretches the language of, "In violation of the laws." But under the circumstances that were presented in this motion, in particular, for lack of a better term, mutual mistake I think, in view of the fact that the Defendant has served time that exceeds the time the Commonwealth originally recommended, the Commonwealth is prepared to either ask your

Honor to restructure the sentence or to permit the Defendant to, without our objection, to withdraw his plea and plead anew, with an agreed-upon recommendation from Mr. Stephenson and myself as to a sentence.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Stephenson, wouldn't it be easier to just plead anew?

ATTY. STEPHENSON: Fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: To be honest with you, I think the colloquy for a 30(a), as it affects waiver on the new trial issues, is going to be longer and more convoluted than a plea colloquy, if in fact he wishes to acknowledge what he did before.

ATTY. STEPHENSON: That's very well, your Honor.

THE COURT: I really think that makes more sense, both procedurally and substantively.

ATTY. STEPHENSON: At this time I would suggest then that the Defendant is prepared to go forward on HIS Motion for a New Trial, amended Motion for New Trial insofar as it suggests that the plea that he entered in 1987 was one that was

involuntary because of the mutual mistake of fact, mutual mistake shared by counsel for the Defendant and counsel for the government, and indeed the Court as well. So that is one of the two grounds.

THE COURT: I know. But so we're not being -- that concerns me. If he wants to plead guilty to an agreed recommendation, then he is to plead guilty to an agreed recommendation if I grant the Motion for New Trial.

ATTY. STEPHENSON: That is correct.

I'm putting right to him whether or not he is claiming that he didn't do it, whether or not he's claiming that Alex was doing it rather than he; whether or not he's claiming that he's doing it as a result of medication he's taken rather than something else. That's not going to be saved for him to raise later in the event he doesn't like the new sentence that was agreed upon.

ATTY. STEPHENSON: That's fully understood, your Honor.

It's simply that the agreement that I have

11.

on an understanding by counsel and the Court that

1	with the government pertains only to that one
2	aspect of the motion.
3	THE COURT: I understand the
4	Commonwealth is not standing up and saying "we
5	agree that the first plea was tainted." You're
6	not saying that it was Alex, given the plea the
7	first time.
8	ATTY. KALMAN: That's correct, your
9	Honor. Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	Now have you fully discussed all of this
12	with your client?
13	ATTY. STEPHENSON: Absolutely, your
14	Honor.
15	THE COURT: Are you satisfied,
16	Mr. Stephenson, that he understands all its
17	ramifications?
18	ATTY. STEPHENSON: Fully, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Would you be good enough,
20	Attorney Kalman first of all, without
21	objection the Motion for New Trial will be
22	granted. The motion for the new trial will be
23	granted on the basis that the plea was predicated

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this man was going to serve 3 years in prison -- is that correct, so far?

ATTY. STEPHENSON: Yes.

THE COURT: That the record, including a lobby conference, reflects that understanding clearly; that based on that understanding, a resentencing structure was devised, which everyone, including the Court, in good faith felt would represent the necessary sentence to come out with an actually 3 years in prison based on the parole policies and sentencing procedures in place at that time; that in fact, it not only did not occur, but he has been in prison for 8 years rather than the 3 years that we all contemplated; that we are all aware of the case law that has come down subsequently that has told us that parole eligibility is no longer -- not no longer -- should not be a factor in sentencing; and that the appropriate remedy is not a corrective sentence, but a new trial if in fact the plea was a result of a sentencing agreement that went because of wrongful dependence on parole eligibility -- not eligibility, actual release. And that's exactly what occurred in

1	this case. And for those reasons, and without
2	objection, I'm granting the Motion for a New
3	Trial.
4	ATTY. STEPHENSON: Thank you, your
5	Honor.
6	THE COURT: With that in mind, what is
7	your client's intent at this point,
8	Mr. Stephenson?
9	ATTY. STEPHENSON: Your Honor, he is
10	prepared to offer a change of plea to indictments
11	86-3252, 53, 54 and 55, all those alleging rape
12	of a child by force.
13	THE COURT: So 52, 53, 54, 55?
14	ATTY. STEPHENSON: And 3257, alleging
15	indecent assault and battery on a child under the
16	age of 14.
17	THE COURT: Attorney Kalman, is there
18	an agreed-upon recommendation?
19	ATTY. KALMAN: There is.
20	THE COURT: Would you state that for
21	the record, please?
22	ATTY. KALMAN: On indictment 86-3252,
23	that the Defendant would be sentenced to a term
24	of time served in this case, that would be 969

days.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

On indictments 3252 through 55, that the Defendant would be sentenced to a term of two and-a-half to four years M.C.I. Cedar Junction, that that sentence would be suspended for a period of four years, during which time the Defendant would be placed on conditions of probation, which I'm prepared to outline.

THE COURT: Would you state those conditions for the record, please?

ATTY. KALMAN: Yes, your Honor.

That the Defendant would receive, or involve himself in mandatory individual sexual abuse counseling treatment to be determined by the Probation Department; that the Defendant not live in a residence with children under the age of 16 years; that the Defendant not be employed in a position involving children under the age of 16 years; that there be no contact, direct or indirect, with the victim or victim's family; that the Defendant report to the Probation Department within 72 hours of his release; and finally, that the Defendant agree to, in the future, that any pleadings related to civil

22

23

24

1 lawsuits will be verified by an attorney, and that he discontinue writing letters that allege a 2 3 lawsuit to the District Attorney's Office, the clerks and others. 4 5 THE COURT: That's going to be 6 problematic. I think I can rephrase that. 7 certainly can't make a condition of probation 8 barring him from the civil side of the court. 9 But I can make -- I can, I think, get what you're after on that. I know what the problem is: 10 11 ATTY. KALMAN: There was one rape 12 indictment --13 THE COURT: Hold on one second. 14 (Pause in the proceedings.) 15 THE COURT: I missed number 4, the one 16 after the employment. 17 ATTY. KALMAN: No contact, direct or' 18 indirect, with the victim or the victim's 19 family. 20 THE COURT: Okay.

What else?

ATTY. KALMAN: The last indictment was number 3257. That charged indecent assault and battery originally, filed, and we'd ask that that

1 be filed agai

THE COURT: Mr. Stephenson, is that a correct statement of the agreed-upon recommendation?

ATTY. STEPHENSON: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Thank you.

In light of the recommendation, I'll allow his cuffs to be taken off at this time. You can take off his handcuffs.

(Defendant's handcuffs removed.)

* * * *

12 MR. DAVID J. ROBITAILLE, WITNESS (SWORN)

EXAMINATION BY THE COURT:

Q. Sit down, SIR, please.

Now, sir, before I can accept your plea of guilty, I'm going to ask you some questions. I'm doing this in order to make sure your pleas are voluntary and that you understand the consequences of those pleas.

If you do not understand me, you must tell me. If I don't understand you, I'll tell you. If I go too fast for you, you must tell me that as well.

Have you understood me so far?

Z I

- 1 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 2 Q. What is your full name, please?
- 3 A. David John Robitaille.
- 4 Q. And how old are you?
- 5 A. 27 years old.
- 6 Q. How far did you go in school?
- 7 A. 10th grade.
- 8 Q. What type of jobs have you held before your incarceration?
- 10 A. Prior to incarceration, I had only worked at
 11 Dunkin Donuts; that is to say as a citizen who
 12 was not living at home, as on my own.
- Q. What other jobs have you held regardless of your living situation?
- 15 A. Prior to that, I had worked for the summer youth

 16 program in Springfield, doing maintenance and

 17 things of that nature.
- Q. Sir, what, if any, mental illnesses or problems are you suffering from at this time?
- 20 A. At this time, your Honor, I don't feel that I am
 21 suffering from any mental illness, et cetera.
- Q. Are you taking any type of medication at this time?
- 24 A. No, your Honor, I am not.

- Q. Do you have any type of symptomatology, whether it be by way of depression, whether it be by way of racing thoughts or racing emotions or by way of the presence of any other individual within you?
- 6 A. No, your Honor.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 7 Q. What has happened to Alex?
 - A. Through counseling, your Honor, I have dealt with that aspect of my life as it existed.
 - The record will reflect the plea colloquy taken Ο. some years ago -- I'm incorporating that plea colloquy at this time with respect to the history of mental illness, the considerations the Court took into account, including the report, statements of Dr. Michelson following his examination of the Defendant both in respect to the likelihood of the Defendant suffering from an altered personality disorder as well as other mental illnesses at the time of that plea colloquy. Based on everything before me, I made a finding that the Defendant was well aware of what he was doing, was competent and that the plea was not in any way affected by mental illness, disease or the like.

I again make that finding based on the answers so far, incorporating the material that was before all of us some 8 years ago as well.

Sir, are you taking any other type of medication at all?

- A. The only medication that I'm taking, which was prescribed for me, is for allergies. The name of it escapes me. I don't have it on me right now.
- 9 Q. When is the last time you took it?
- 10 A. This morning.

No.

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

Α.

- 11 Q. Does it in any way whatsoever affect your ability
 12 to think or make judgments on your own behalf?
- to think or make judgments on your own behalf?
- Q. Sir, are you under the influence of any alcohol at this time?
- 16 A. No, your Honor.
- Q. Are you under the influence of any drug at this time?
- 19 A. No, your Honor.
- Q. Do you understand, sir, you're charged with four separate indictments of rape of a child, each one carrying maximum state prison sentences of life?
- 23 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. You're charged with one indictment of indecent

assault and battery of a child under the age of 14, carrying, at the time of the alleged offense, a maximum -- no, it was the same maximum, I had the consent part with it, though.

Let me take that back. You are also charged with indecent assault and battery on a child under the age of 14, carrying a maximum penalty of ten years in state's prison. Do you understand that?

- A. Yes, I understand that.
 - Q. Now, ordinarily I could give your the maximum penalties prescribed by law if I felt it was correct. However, in this case, I will not exceed, I will not go over the agreed-upon recommendation without first giving you a right to withdraw your plea of guilty. Do you understand that?
- A. Yes, your Honor.
 - Q. Do you understand that the agreed-upon recommendation in this case, on the first indictment, 3252, rape of a child under the age of 16, you will be sentenced to time served --

THE COURT: Is it 969 days?

PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, your Honor.

1.6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE COURT: Thank you.

- 2 Q. -- 969 days. Do you understand that?
- 3 A. Yes, your Honor, I understand that.
- 4 Q. That on the remaining 3 rape of a child 5 indictments, you will be sentenced on each one of 6 those indictments to two and-a-half to four years 7 at state's prison, Cedar Junction, to be served 8 concurrently, meaning all at the same time as one 9 another, but on-and-after the time served sentence; that those last three concurrent 10 11 sentences will be suspended, and you will be 12 placed on probation for a period of four years.

Are you with me so far?

- A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. Do you understand that if the recommendation was accepted, it would be subject to the normal conditions of probation, and, in addition to those conditions, there will be special conditions: The first one is that you undergo mandatory individual sexual treatment and counseling as determined by Probation. Do you understand that condition?
- 23 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 24 Q. And do you understand that when I use the word,

"Participation," in that, if you, during this plea discussion, admit to what occurred or what the government says you did, if you admit to that, then I will fully expect, and it is part of the condition of your treatment, that participation require your acknowledgment to the therapist of what happened, your actions including any inappropriate sexual actions which you may admit later in this discussion, that you discuss those fully with the counselor in order to receive the appropriate treatment. Do you understand that?

A. Yes, your Honor.

- Q. So, in other words, I am not going to allow the agreement phase to just be a show, in other words, to show up for your appointments and you've fulfilled that condition -- it's not going to be meaningful.
- 19 A. Yes, your Honor, I understand that.
 - Q. Now, in addition to that condition, sir, you would also be required to refrain from residing in any home with children under 16. You are also not to obtain employment that would require you to have contact with children under the age of

16.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

If you have any question regarding that -for instance, you get a job as a store clerk,
which comes to mind, and you weren't sure whether
that would violate the condition or not because a
kid might come in and buy something -- that
before you accepted any such employment you would
have to talk to your probation officer. Do you
understand that clearly?

- 10 A. Yes, your Honor, I understand that.
- Q. And if they said you had to get court permission,
 you would have to come before the Court for that
 permission. Do you understand that?
- 14 A. Yes, I understand that, your Honor.
- 15 Q. In addition, sir, a condition of probation would

 16 be no contact with the victim or the victim's

 17 family. Do you understand that?
- 18 A. Yes, your Honor, I understand that.
- Q. Also, you would have to report to probation
 within 72 hours of -- in other words, by Monday.
 This is Thursday. You would have to report to
 our probation office Monday morning.
- 23 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 24 Q. And that's our probation office in Springfield.

- A. Yes, your Honor. I understand that.
 - Q. Finally, sir, you would be required not to engage in any type of harassing behavior toward court
 - officials, court personnel or prosecutors or attorneys associated with your case.

Now what I mean by that, so we're absolutely clear, -- and I'm not going to make any determination whether some of it was valid or some of it was invalid -- but as I'm sure you are aware, and we are all aware, you have a tendency to constantly contact certain people or try to get attention by kind of broad based allegations and charges and civil suits, writing letters to clerical personnel in the Clerk's office who have no authority to do anything. That is unacceptable. Do you understand that?

- A. I understand, your Honor.
- Q. And it has not helped you, because we have been unable to sort out what is meaningful and what is not meaningful when that type of behavior is engaged in.

Now I cannot bar you, and I am not barring you at this time -- it would have to go farther than that -- from bringing any type of action in

the courts, except to tell you that this puts you on notice that that's to stop. If you have a meaningful issue, you bring it to the probation officer's attention, but you don't harass the probation officer.

- A. I understand that, your Honor.
 - Q. Fair enough.

And that if you have a legal problem, you have it brought to the attention of the Court through legal counsel.

- A. I understand.
- Q. Or if you don't have legal counsel, you file it with the Clerk of Courts, and you don't write letters to the young women in the office or ask for them to call you to get an explanation of this or that; that you file it in the formal course. All right?
- 18 A. Yes, your Honor, I understand.
- 19 Q. Very good.

Now sir, if I accept this recommendation, you are to understand that if you violate any condition of your probation, any one of those conditions, or any of the general conditions of probation, such as reporting or committing

another crime, that you will be violated and you'll go in and do the two and-a-half to four years, plain and simple, at state's prison. Do you understand that?

- A. Yes, your Honor, I understand.
- Q. And do you understand that the two and-a-half to four years at state's prison, considering the record you're coming off, would really mean a four year?
- 10 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 11 Q. And you accept that risk fully?
- 12 | A. Yes, your Honor, I do.
- 13 Q. Fair enough.

Now have you discussed fully with Atty.

Stephenson what it is that the government would have to prove at trial to a jury, or to a judge, whoever you elect, before you could be found guilty of each one of these offenses?

- A. I believe I do, yes.
- Q. And do you understand that the trier of fact, whether it be a judge or jury, would have to be satisfied based on the evidence, beyond a reasonable doubt, that you committed the particular crime charged before you could be

1		found guilty of that crime?
2	Α.	Yes, I understand that.
3		THE COURT: Attor
4		vou satisfied with your cli

THE COURT: Attorney Stephenson, are you satisfied with your client's understanding?

ATTY. STEPHENSON: I am, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir, please listen carefully to the District Attorney as she sets fort the allegations in this case. I'll question you following that.

Atty. Kalman?

ATTY. KALMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

When the Defendant was 19 and the victim was approximately 16 (sic), the Defendant moved to the victim's neighborhood and began a pattern of becoming friends with the victim. They played --they watched T.V., played some games. The Defendant showed the victim some pornographic pictures.

At one point in the Defendant's house, the Defendant fondled the victim's penis and that was the end of that day.

During April of 1986, the Defendant would call the victim over to his house. In that house, about ten or twelve times in April, they

again socialized and the Defendant at times would fondle the victim.

On May 2nd, 1986, the victim was at the Defendant's house and the Defendant asked the victim to wait so that he could get something. The victim thought he was getting a glass of water for him. But instead, the Defendant got a tube of vaseline, came back into the room where the victim was and showed him a photograph of a bicycle, promised to give the victim a bicycle for his birthday. And while the victim was reading the manual, the Defendant pulled the victim's pants down, used vaseline -- the Defendant used vaseline on himself and then performed anal sex on the victim.

On May 8th, the Defendant called the victim over to his house again and there engaged in both forced oral sex and forced anal sex with him.

On -- I'm sorry, your Honor, that was oral sex only on that occasion.

On July 1st, the Defendant called the victim's house again and he had a conversation regarding a bank card, withdrawing some money out of a bank. And he told the victim they were

going to do something important today.

They went outside. The Defendant showed the victim a knife and a small gun. And they left the house and went to Forest Park where the Defendant again engaged in forced anal sex with the victim.

The victim disclosed these matters to his mother, and then they went to the police station where what I've described to you was described, or taken down in a statement.

THE COURT: Thank you, Atty. Kalman.

- Q. Sir, did you hear those factual allegations as told to me by the District Attorney?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Did you commit each of those acts as she stated you did?
- 17 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 18 Q. Is there anything she told me that is incorrect?
- 19 A. No, your Honor.
- 20 Q. Do you understand that by admitting to that,
 21 you're admitting you are guilty of each one of
 22 the indictments that are presently before the
 23 Court?
- 24 A. Yes, your Honor.

- Q. To these charges will you be pleading guilty willingly, freely and voluntarily?
- 3 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 4 Q. Is anyone forcing you to plead quilty?
- 5 A. No, no, your Honor.
- Q. -- threatening you or coercing you, intimidating
 you, promising you anything to make to get to you
 plead?
- 9 A. No, your Honor.
- 10 Q. Do you understand that by pleading guilty, you

 11 are giving up your absolute right to a fair and

 12 impartial trial to determine whether you are

 13 guilty or not guilty, and that trial may be with

 14 or without a jury, at your choice?
- 15 A. Yes, your Honor, I understand that.
- Q. Do you further understand that by pleading
 guilty, you are giving up your absolute right to
 face your accuser, to question him, to question
 anyone else who might appear against you, and to
 offer evidence on your own behalf if you choose?
 - A. Yes, your Honor, I understand that.
- Q. Do you understand you are giving up your privilege against self-incrimination, which is your right to remain silent?

- 1 A. Yes, your Honor.
- You are also giving up your right to bring before 2 Q. 3 the Court any Motion to Suppress Evidence, to challenge the legality of any statements you may 4 5 have made to the police or any evidence that may 6 have been taken from you or your home or an area 7 where you claim privacy, and if you brought such 8 a motion and I denied it, I turned you down, you 9 are giving up your right to appeal; do you 10 understand that?
- 11 A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. Now, have you fully discussed all of your rights, all of your defenses, all the choices you have with Attorney Stephenson?
- 15 A. Yes, your Honor, I have.
- 16 Q. Do you need to talk to him or anyone else any further before offering your plea here?
- 18 A. No, I don't believe so, your Honor.
- 19 Q. Has Mr. Stephenson acted in your best interests?
 20 Has he done a good job for you?
- 21 A. I believe so, yes, your Honor.
- Q. Are you pleading guilty here today because you
 are in fact guilty of each and every one of these
 five indictments?

1 Α. Yes, your Honor, I am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- At this time, sir, the law requires that I advise Q. you that if you are not a citizen of the United States, conviction for these offenses will result in deportation, denial of admission to the United States or denial of naturalization pursuant to the laws of the United States. Do you understand that?
- Α. Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I find the plea, once tendered, will be made voluntarily, with knowledge of its consequences, and we'll accept the plea once it's tendered.

THE CLERK: David John Robitaille, if you would please rise?

How do you now plead to Hampden County indictment number 86-3252, which charges you with rape of a child with force?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE CLERK: How do you plead to Hampden County indictment number 86-3253, also charging you with rape of a child by force?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE CLERK: And how do you plead to

you with rape of a child by force?

Hampden County indictment 86-3254, also charging

1

2

3 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 4 THE CLERK: How do you plead to Hampden 5 County indictment number 86-3255, charging you 6 with rape of a child by force? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 8 THE CLERK: How do you plead to Hampden County Indictment Number 86-3257, charging you 9 with indecent assault and battery on a child 10 under 14? 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 1.3 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may be 14 seated. 15 Atty. Kalman, do you wish to be heard any further? 16 17 ATTY. KALMAN: I do not. 18 THE COURT: Attorney Stephenson, do you 19 wish to be heard any further? 20 ATTY. STEPHENSON: Your Honor, I would 21 simply implore you to adopt this recommendation. 22 It's one that I think both fairly takes account of Mr. Robitaille's rights and, in recognition of 23 24 the fact that after 8 years imprisonment,

4	beginning today he will be a free man, protects
2	the citizens of the Commonwealth from any
3	possible repetition of the acts to which he's
4	admitted.
5	And I would simply take this opportunity, if
6	I might, to recognize the high degree of
7	cooperation that I've received from the District
8	Attorney's Office, truly in the interest of
9	justice and not simply taking an advocate's
10	role. And that certainly is something that I
11	think is important to recognize.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	Anything further?
14	PROBATION OFFICER: No, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: You're all set on the
16	conditions?
17	Thank you.
18	Are we agreed on Cedar Junction, 969 days?
19	ATTY. STEPHENSON: That's correct.
20	ATTY. KALMAN: May I correct
21	something?
22	I inadvertently said the victim was 16. I
23	should have said 13. And I'd ask

THE COURT: Thank you. I overlooked

that myself. Thank you for bringing that to our attention.

> ATTY. KALMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE CLERK: David John Robitaille, harken to the sentence which the Court has awarded against you:

On Hampden County Indictment Number 86-3252, to which you have pled guilty to the charge of rape of a child by force, the Court Orders that you be committed to the Massachusetts Correctional Institution at Cedar Junction, in the County of Norfolk, for the term of 969 days. This is the time that you have previously served. The Court Further on that, also for the record, Orders that you be deemed to have served any portion of this sentence, such portion being the number of days spent by you in confinement. prior to this sentence.

On Hampden County Indictment Number 86-3253, to which you have pled guilty to the charge of rape of a child by force, the Court Orders that you be committed to the Massachusetts Correctional Institution at Cedar Junction, in the County of Norfolk, for a term of not more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

than four years and not less than two and one half years. This sentence is hereby suspended and you are placed on probation for a period of four years under the terms and orders governing probation, with Nicholas DeAngelis, Probation Officer. This sentence is to be served on and after the sentence imposed upon you on Hampden County Indictment Number 86-3252.

As special conditions of your probation, the Court hereby Orders the following:

Number 1, you are to participate in mandatory individual sex abuse counseling and treatment as may be determined by the Probation Department.

- 2: You are not to live or reside in any residence with a child under the age of 16 years.
- 3: You are to have no employment where 'there is contact with a child under the age of 16 years.
- 4: You are to have no contact with the victim or the victim's family, directly or indirectly.
- 5: You are to report to the Hampden County Superior Court Probation Department within 72

hours of your release.

Number 6: You are not to engage in any kind of harassing behavior towards court personnel, the District Attorney's Office and the bar.

ATTY. KALMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE CLERK: -- court personnel, the District Attorney's office or the bar association itself. Do you so agree to those conditions, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

On Hampden County indictment number 86-3254, to which you've also pled guilty to the charge of rape of a child with force, the Court Orders you be committed to the Massachusetts Correctional Institution at Cedar Junction in the County of Norfolk for a term of not more than four and not less than two and one half years. Again, this sentence is suspended, with probation for a period of four years. And this sentence is to be served concurrently with the sentence imposed upon you on 86-3253, again with the same special conditions.

On Hampden County indictment number 86-3255,

to which you also pled guilty to the charge of rape of a child, the Court Orders that you be committed to the Massachusetts Correctional Institution at Cedar Junction, in the County of Norfolk, for a term of not more than four nor less than two and one half years. Again, this sentence is suspended and you are placed on four years probation, to run concurrently with the sentence imposed upon you on Hampden County indictment number 86-3253, again with the same special conditions as enumerated there on.

On Hampden County indictment 86-3257, to which you have pled guilty to the charge of indecent assault and battery on a child under the age of 14, the Court hereby Orders that this plea be placed on file, with your consent. Do you so consent to the filing of this on a guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE CLERK: Thank you, sir. The Court further informs you, sir, that you have a right to appeal to the Appellate Division of the Superior Court for a review of this sentence. This appeal must be filed with the Clerk of Courts within ten days of this date.

1	The other indictments I believe previously
2	were placed on file. I don't know if we have to
3	do anything with those.
4	ATTY. STEPHENSON: Your Honor, two were
5	dismissed and one was placed on file on a not
6	guilty.
7	THE COURT: Those will remain.
8	THE CLERK: I just wanted to be sure.
9	Absent anything else, Mr. Robitaille
10	THE COURT: Is he held on any other
11	process?
12	ATTY. KALMAN: No, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
14	Now have you made any arrangements for him
15	to
16	ATTY. STEPHENSON: I have, your Honor.
17	Your Honor, if I might, on Mr. Robitaille's
18	behalf and mine as well, whatever laudatory
19	comments I directed toward the District
20	Attorney's Office are toward your Honor as well.
21	We truly and deeply appreciate the concern and
22	commitment you've demonstrated. Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Well, thank you.

Mr. Robitaille, I expect you're going--

you're much more mature at this than you were 8
years ago. I expect that maturity is going to
show itself to our probation office and you'll
have a good, solid working relationship with
them. If you use them correctly, and their
services correctly, they can be of assistance to
you in reintegrating into the community and
making sure you don't get into further
difficulty. If you look at them as a police
officer or the like, or something to get around,
that's where you're going to run into trouble.
All right?

So, please be cooperative with them and make sure we see that maturity, and things will work out for the best, hopefully. All right.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you both, counsel. I appreciate it.

ATTY. KALMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

1.3

CERTIFICATION:

I, Roger W. Trudeau, Official Court
Reporter, hereby certify the foregoing to be a
true and accurate transcript of the hearing in
the above matter recorded on August 18, 1994.

Roger W. Ludelle